7



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/011,977	06/15/1998	HERMANN P.T. AMMON	015200-054	1580
21839	7590 06/11/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE ALEXANDRI	E BOX 1404 [A, VA 22313-1404	OWENS JR, HOWARD V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/011,977	AMMON ET AL.					
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Howard V Owens	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of this application at the same of the sam	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation originally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	·					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the				
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · — ·		nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:		÷					
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: 10,12-22,24,25 and 27-29.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)⊡ disappı	roved by the Examin	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  10. Other:	ot(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		<i></i>				
		James O. W. Syervisory Pater	);  201) t Examber				
•		Art Unit 162	3				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The rejection of claims 10, 12-16,18-22, 24-25 and 27-29 under 35 U.S.C. 103 is maintained for the reasons of record. The base/target of the diseases treated that applicant claims is inflammation, therefore, regardless of the severity of these diseases, one of skill in the art would still be motivated to use boswellic acid for the treatment of the inflammation based diseases per the teachings of Ammon and Mulshine. Newly amended claims reciting "treating" the destruction of functional tissue as opposed to "prevention" would require further search an/or consideration and invoke a 35 U.S.C. 112(1) rejection as well, given that the state of the art teaches that "the mere fact that a compound inactivates HLE in vitro is not in itself a guarantee for a physiological role (\*Bernstein et al., Progress in Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 31, p. 65, paragraph 2, edited by Ellis et al., 1994)". Given that applicant's sole support for the use of the purported HLE inhibitor boswellic acid is via in vitro data, a 35 U.S.C. 112(1) rejection would be warranted.

\* A copy of Bernstein et al., has not been provided because this reference was cited in a PTO 1449 submitted 4/2/02.